arXiv:1207.0562v1 [math.RA] 3 Jul 2012

On the Construction of Gröbner Bases with Coefficients in Quotient Rings*

Huishi Li

Department of Applied Mathematics, College of Information Science and Technology
Hainan University, Haikou 570228, China

Abstract. Let Λ be a commutative Noetherian ring, and let I be a proper ideal of Λ, $R = \Lambda/I$. Consider the polynomial rings $T = \Lambda[x_1, \dots x_n]$ and $A = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Suppose that linear equations are solvable in Λ. It is shown that linear equations are solvable in R (thereby theoretically Gröbner bases for ideals of A are well defined and constructible) and that practically Gröbner bases in A with respect to any given monomial ordering can be obtained by constructing Gröbner bases in T, and moreover, all basic applications of a Gröbner basis at the level of A can be realized by a Gröbner basis at the level of T. Typical applications of this result are demonstrated respectively in the cases where $\Lambda = D$ is a PID, $\Lambda = D[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ is a polynomial ring over a PID D, and $\Lambda = K[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ is a polynomial ring over a field K.

2010 MSC 13P10

Key words Commutative ring, quotient ring, Gröbner basis.

1. Introduction and Preliminary

It is well known that the celebrated theory of Gröbner bases over a field invented by Buchberger ([Bu1], [Bu2]) has been generalized to study Gröbner bases and their applications over various commutative rings (cf. [AB], [AL], [BF], [BM], [BW], [GTZ], [KC], [K-RK], [Möl], [NS1], [NS2], [Pan], [Pau], [Zac]). To go into a little more detail, we mainly refer to [AL] for a general theory of Gröbner bases over rings. Let $A = R[x_1, \dots x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables over a commutative Noetherian ring R with the multiplicative identity 1. Then A is a Noetherian

---

*Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10971044).

ring and A is also a free R-module with the standard free R-basis $\mathcal{B} = \{x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \mid \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$, where $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of all nonnegative integers. It follows from ([AL], Chapter 4) that if linear equations are solvable in R, then a Gröbner basis theory holds true for A and (in principle) finite Gröbner bases in the sense of ([AL], Definition 4.1.13) may be constructed by means of an analogue of Buchberger's algorithm ([AL], Algorithm 4.2.1). However, as one may see from the literature, except for some specific rings such as $R = \mathbb{Z}$ which is the ring of integers, and $R = K[y]$ which is the polynomial ring in one variable y over a field K, the practical implementation of such an algorithm seems to be much restricted by the coefficient ring R, in particular, it is rather annoying when R has divisors of zero, or when modulo operation has to be considered in dealing with the operations of elements in R. To remedy such problems, in this paper we propose a "pull-back method" whenever R is a quotient ring of a ring Λ over which Gröbner bases may be effectively (or even more effectively) constructed by means of well-implemented algorithms. More precisely, in Section 2 we show that if Λ is an *arbitrary* commutative Noetherian ring in which linear equations are solvable, then linear equations are solvable in any quotient ring $R = \Lambda/I$ of Λ, all basic results concerning Gröbner bases as presented in ([AL], Sections 4.1 – 4.3) hold true over R and can be realized over Λ. As applications of Section 2, in Section 3 we show that if Λ is a PID and $R = \Lambda/I$ is any quotient ring of Λ, then the theory of strong Gröbner bases holds true over R and can be realized over Λ; while section 4 deals with the case where $R = E[a_1, \ldots, a_m]$ is a finitely generated E-algebra over a commutative Noetherian ring E, and we show, by employing a nice result of [AB], that if linear equations are solvable in E then the Gröbner basis theory ([AL], Sections 4.1 – 4.3) holds true over R and can be realized over E. In Section 5 we illustrate the practical effectiveness of Theorem 4.4 by focusing on a finitely generated D-algebra $R = D[\vartheta_1, \ldots, \vartheta_m]$ where D is a PID including the case of D being a field. Finally in Section 6 we give an comprehensive application of the previous sections to the case where R is a Galois ring.

Let Λ be a commutative Noetherian ring. We now recall from [AL] some basics on Gröbner bases for ideals in the polynomial ring $T = \Lambda[x_1, \ldots x_n]$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \mid \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$ be the standard free Λ-basis of T. For convenience, we write $\Lambda^* = \Lambda - \{0\}$, and, as usual we call x^α a *monomial* of T. Given a monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}$, any nonzero element $f = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x^{\alpha(i)}$ with $\lambda_i \in \Lambda^*$, $\alpha(i) = (\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_n})$ and $x^{\alpha_(m)} \prec x^{\alpha(m-1)} \prec \cdots \prec x^{\alpha(1)}$, has the associated

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{leading monomial: } \mathbf{LM}(f) = x^{\alpha(1)},\\ &\text{leading coefficient: } \mathbf{LC}(f) = \lambda_1, \ \textit{and}\\ &\text{leading term: } \mathbf{LT}(f) = \mathbf{LC}(f)\mathbf{LM}(f) = \lambda_1 x^{\alpha(1)}.\end{aligned}$$

If S is a subset of T, we write $\langle S \rangle$ for the ideal of T generated by S, and we write $\mathbf{LT}(S) = \{\mathbf{LT}(f) \mid f \in S\}$ for the set of leading terms of S.

In order to have an effective division algorithm and to make Gröbner bases computable over

Λ, it is necessary to have the following

1.1. Definition We will say that linear equations are solvable in Λ provided that
(i) Given $\lambda, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m \in \Lambda$, there is an algorithm to determine whether $\lambda \in \langle \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m \rangle$ and if it is, to compute $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda = \lambda_1\mu_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m\mu_m$;
(ii) Given $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m \in \Lambda$, there is an algorithm that computes a set of generators for the Λ-module

$$\mathrm{Syz}_\Lambda(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m) = \{(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m) \in \Lambda^m \mid \lambda_1\mu_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m\mu_m = 0\}.$$

Given $f \in T$ and a finite set $F = \{f_1, \ldots, f_s\} \subset T - \{0\}$, if, under the assumption of Definition 1.1(i), there are $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{s'} \in \Lambda^*$, $x^{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, x^{\alpha(s')} \in \mathcal{B}$, and $f_{i_1}, \ldots, f_{i_{s'}} \in F$, $1 \le s' \le s$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{LM}(f) = x^{\alpha(j)}\mathbf{LM}(f_{i_j}),\ 1 \le j \le s',\\ &\mathbf{LT}(f) = \lambda_1 x^{\alpha(1)}\mathbf{LT}(f_{i_1}) + \cdots + \lambda_{s'} x^{\alpha(s')}\mathbf{LT}(f_{i_{s'}}),\end{aligned}$$

then f can be expressed as

$$f = (\lambda_1 x^{\alpha(1)} f_{i_1} + \cdots + \lambda_{s'} x^{\alpha(s')} f_{i_{s'}}) + h,\ h \in T \text{ with } \mathbf{LM}(h) \prec \mathbf{LM}(f).$$

In this case, f is said to be *reduced to* h modulo F in one step and is denoted by $f \longrightarrow h$. If $f \neq 0$ and f cannot be reduced as above, then we say that f is *minimal with respect to* F. Thus, under the assumption that linear equations are solvable in E, there is an effective division algorithm ([AL], Algorithm 4.1.1) that produces elements $h_1, \ldots, h_s, r \in T$ such that

$$f = h_1 f_1 + \cdots + h_s f_s + r,$$

where $\mathbf{LM}(h_i)\mathbf{LM}(f_i) \preceq \mathbf{LM}(f)$ for all $h_i \neq 0$, and either $r = 0$ or r is minimal with $\mathbf{LM}(r) \preceq \mathbf{LM}(f)$.

By using the division algorithm described above, the following theorem is proved in [AL]. But note that we modified below the condition (ii) of ([AL], Theorem 4.1.12) in an equivalent statement.

1.2. Theorem Let I be an ideal of T and $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$ a finite subset of $I - \{0\}$. The following statements are equivalent.
(i) $\langle \mathbf{LT}(I) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{LT}(\mathcal{G}) \rangle$.
(ii) If $0 \neq f \in I$, then there are $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k \in \Lambda^*$, $x^{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, x^{\alpha(k)} \in \mathcal{B}$, and $g_{i_1}, \ldots, g_{i_k} \in \mathcal{G}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{LM}(f) = x^{\alpha(j)}\mathbf{LM}(g_{i_j}),\ 1 \le j \le k,\\ &\mathbf{LT}(f) = \lambda_1 x^{\alpha(1)}\mathbf{LT}(g_{i_1}) + \lambda_2 x^{\alpha(2)}\mathbf{LT}(g_{i_2}) + \cdots + \lambda_k x^{\alpha(k)}\mathbf{LT}(g_{i_k}).\end{aligned}$$

(iii) If $0 \neq f \in I$, then f has a representation

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f & = & \sum_{i=1}^{s} h_i g_i,\ h_i \in T,\ g_i \in \mathcal{G}, \\ & & \text{satisfying } \mathbf{LM}(h_i)\mathbf{LM}(g_i) \preceq \mathbf{LM}(f) \text{ for all } h_i \neq 0. \end{array}$$

1.3. Definition Let I be an ideal of T and $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$ a finite subset of $I - \{0\}$. If $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies one of the three equivalent conditions of Theorem 1.2, then we call $\mathcal{G}$ a *Gröbner basis* of I.

Clearly, if $\mathcal{G}$ is a Gröbner basis of the ideal I then it is a generating set for I. So, from now on in the text if we say that a finite subset $\mathcal{G}$ of nonzero elements is a Gröbner basis in T, it is meant that $\mathcal{G}$ is a Gröbner basis for the ideal $I = \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$. Moreover, as usual a represention of f given in Theorem 1.2(iii) is called a *Gröbner representation* of f by $\mathcal{G}$.

It follows from ([AL], Chapter 4) that if linear equations are solvable in Λ, then Gröbner bases in T are computable, that is, with a given subset $S = \{f_1, \ldots, f_t\} \subset T$, there is an analogue of Buchberger's algorithm ([AL], Algorithm 4.2.1, Algorithm 4.2.2) for computing a finite Gröbner basis for the ideal $I = \langle S \rangle$. In this case, we say that *Gröbner bases in T are computable in the sense of* [AL].

2. The General Case: $R = \Lambda/I$

Let $T = \Lambda[x_1, \ldots x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables over a commutative Noetherian ring Λ, and let I be a proper ideal of Λ, $R = \Lambda/I$. Suppose that Gröbner bases in T are computable in the sense of [AL]. In this section, we show that Gröbner bases for ideals of the polynomial ring $A = R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ are well defined and can be obtained by constructing Gröbner bases in T. Notations and conventions fixed in the last section are maintained.

Since Gröbner bases in T are computable by the assumption, linear equations are solvable in Λ. We first show that linear equations are solvable in $R = \Lambda/I$, thereby (in principle) Gröbner bases in A are computable. As usual, if $\lambda \in \Lambda$ then we write $\overline{\lambda}$ for the coset $\lambda + I$ in R represented by λ.

2.1. Proposition Suppose that $I = \langle \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s \rangle$ where $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s \in I$, and that linear equations are solvable in Λ. Then linear equations are solvable in $R = \Lambda/I$.

Proof We show that R satisfies the two conditions of Definition 1.1.

(i) Given $\overline{\lambda}, \overline{\lambda}_1, \ldots, \overline{\lambda}_m \in R$ represented by $\lambda, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m \in \Lambda$ respectively, since $I = \langle \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s \rangle$ we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \overline{\lambda} \in \langle \overline{\lambda}_1, \ldots, \overline{\lambda}_m \rangle & \Leftrightarrow & \text{there are } \overline{\mu}_1, \ldots, \overline{\mu}_m \in R \text{ such that } \overline{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \overline{\lambda}_i \overline{\mu}_i \\ & \Leftrightarrow & \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i \mu_i \in I = \langle \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s \rangle \\ & \Leftrightarrow & \lambda \in J = \langle \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s \rangle \subset \Lambda. \end{array}$$

By the assumption, there is an algorithm to determine whether $\lambda \in J$ and if it is, to compute $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i\mu_i + \sum_{j=1}^s \nu_j\xi_j$, i.e., $\overline{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^m \overline{\lambda}_i\overline{\mu}_i$, or equivalently, $\overline{\lambda} \in \langle \overline{\lambda}_1, \ldots, \overline{\lambda}_m \rangle$.

(ii) Given $\overline{\lambda}_1, \ldots, \overline{\lambda}_m \in R$ represented by $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m \in \Lambda$ respectively, since $I = \langle \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s \rangle$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{\mu}_1, \ldots, \overline{\mu}_m) \in \ & \mathrm{Syz}_R(\overline{\lambda}_1, \ldots, \overline{\lambda}_m) \subset R^m \\ & \Leftrightarrow \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^m \overline{\lambda}_i\overline{\mu}_i = 0 \\ & \Leftrightarrow \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i\mu_i \in I \\ & \Leftrightarrow \text{there are } \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s \in \Lambda \text{ such that } \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i\mu_i + \sum_{j=1}^s \nu_j\xi_j = 0 \\ & \Leftrightarrow (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s) \in \ \mathrm{Syz}_E(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s) \subset \Lambda^{m+s}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption, there is an algorithm that computes a set of generators $\{V_1, \ldots V_q\}$ for the Λ-module $\mathrm{Syz}_\Lambda(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s) \subset E^{m+s}$. Consider the Λ-module epimorphism

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \psi : & \Lambda^{m+s} & \longrightarrow & R^m \\ & (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s) & \mapsto & (\overline{\mu}_1, \ldots, \overline{\mu}_m) \end{array}$$

It follows from the above discussion that

$$\psi\left(\mathrm{Syz}_\Lambda(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s)\right) = \ \mathrm{Syz}_R(\overline{\lambda}_1, \ldots, \overline{\lambda}_m).$$

Since the Λ-action of Λ on R^m and the R-action of R on R^m coincide, a generating set of the Λ-module $\mathrm{Syz}_\Lambda(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s)$ is mapped to a generating set of the R-module $\mathrm{Syz}_R(\overline{\lambda}_1, \ldots, \overline{\lambda}_m)$, thereby $\{\psi(V_1), \ldots \psi(V_q)\}$ generates the R-module $\mathrm{Syz}_R(\overline{\lambda}_1, \ldots, \overline{\lambda}_m)$. □

Although Proposition 2.1 tells us that in principle Gröbner bases in the polynomial ring $A = R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ in any $n \geq 1$ variables are computable, its proof indeed inspires us to obtain Gröbner bases for ideals in A by constructing Gröbner bases in the polynomial ring $T = \Lambda[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. To go further, without confusion we let $\mathcal{B} = \{x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \mid \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$ denote the standard free Λ-basis of T and the standard free R-basis of A, and we fix a monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}$. Let φ: $T \to A$ be the canonical ring epimorphism with $\varphi(\sum_i \lambda_i x^{\alpha(i)}) = \sum_i \overline{\lambda}_i x^{\alpha(i)}$, where $\lambda_i \in \Lambda$, $x^{\alpha(i)} \in \mathcal{B}$, and $\overline{\lambda}_i = \lambda_i + I$ is the coset in $R = \Lambda/I$ represented by λ_i. Recalling the definition of the leading term given in Section 1, the lemma given below is clear.

2.2. Lemma (i) If $0 \neq f = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x^{\alpha(i)} \in T$ which has the leading term $\mathbf{LT}(f) = \lambda_1 x^{\alpha(1)}$, then $\varphi(f) \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{LT}(\varphi(f)) = \varphi(\mathbf{LT}(f))$ if and only if $\lambda_1 \notin I$.
(ii) If $0 \neq \overline{f} = \sum_{i=1}^m \overline{\lambda}_i x^{\alpha(i)} \in A$ which has $\mathbf{LT}(\overline{f}) = \overline{\lambda}_1 x^{\alpha(1)}$, then, putting $f = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x^{\alpha(i)} \in T$, we have $\mathbf{LT}(f) = \lambda_1 x^{\alpha(1)}$ and $\mathbf{LT}(\varphi(f)) = \varphi(\mathbf{LT}(f)) = \mathbf{LT}(\overline{f})$.

□

2.3. Theorem Let the rings $T = \Lambda[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $R = \Lambda/I$, $A = R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, the canonical ring epimorphism φ: $T \to A$, and all notations be as above. Suppose that the ideal I is generated by $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s \in \Lambda$, and let $\overline{J} = \langle \overline{S} \rangle$ be an ideal of A generated by the set $\overline{S} = \{\overline{f}_1, \ldots, \overline{f}_t\}$, where $\overline{f}_i = \sum_j \overline{\lambda}_{ij} x^{\alpha(j)}$ with $\lambda_{ij} \in \Lambda$, $1 \le i \le t$. Consider in T the set of elements $S = \{f_1, \ldots, f_t, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s\}$, where $f_i = \sum_j \lambda_{ij} x^{\alpha(j)}$, and let $J = \langle S \rangle$ be the ideal of T generated by S. The following statements hold.

(i) If, with respect to the given monomial ordering $\prec$, $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \ldots, g_m\}$ is a Gröbner basis of J constructed by means of ([AL], Algorithm 4.2.1) using the initial input-data S in T, then for each $g_i \notin \{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s\}$, we have $\varphi(g_i) \neq 0$, $\mathbf{LT}(\varphi(g_i)) = \varphi(\mathbf{LT}(g_i))$ and hence $\mathbf{LM}(\varphi(g_i)) = \mathbf{LM}(g_i)$.

(ii) Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the Gröbner basis of J presented in (i). Considering the image $\varphi(\mathcal{G})$ in A, the set

$$\overline{\mathcal{G}} = \{\overline{g}_k = \varphi(g_k) \mid g_k \in \mathcal{G},\ g_k \neq \nu_j,\ 1 \le j \le s\} = \varphi(\mathcal{G}) - \{0\}$$

is a Gröbner basis for $\overline{J}$ with respect to the monomial ordering $\prec$.

(iii) Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the Gröbner basis of J presented in (i). Then each $g_k \in \mathcal{G} - \{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s\}$ has a representation $g_k = g_k' + g_k''$ in which

$$\begin{aligned} g_k' &= \textstyle\sum_q \mu_{kq} x^{\alpha(q)} \text{ with } \mu_{kq} \notin I \text{ for all } q, \\ g_k'' &= \textstyle\sum_\ell \mu_{k\ell} x^{\alpha(\ell)} \text{ with } \mu_{k\ell} \in I \text{ for all } \ell, \end{aligned}$$

and such a representation can be algorithmically determined. Hence each element of the Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ obtained in (ii) has a "real representation" in A, i.e., $\overline{g}_k = \varphi(g_k) = \varphi(g_k') = \sum_q \overline{\mu}_{kq} x^{\alpha(q)}$ with all the $\overline{\mu}_{kq} \neq 0$.

Proof (i) Let $g_i \in \mathcal{G}$ with $g_i \notin \{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_q\}$. If $g_i \in \{f_1, \ldots, f_t\}$, then g_i has the desired property by Lemma 2.2. If $g_i \notin \{f_1, \ldots, f_t, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s\}$, then it follows from ([AL], Algorithm 4.2.1) that g_i is obtained by passing through some i-th round executing the While loop, that is, $g_i := r$, where r appears as the remainder of a reduction

$$h_1 g_1 + \cdots + h_\ell g_\ell \xrightarrow{G'}_+ r$$

which is minimal with respect to G'. Note that $S \subset G'$ since S is the initial input-data running the algorithm. So, g_i cannot be further reduced modulo G', in particular, it cannot be reduced modulo $\{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s\}$, i.e., if $\mathbf{LT}(g_i) = \lambda x^\alpha$, then there do not exist $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s \in \Lambda$ such that

$$\lambda x^\alpha = (\lambda_1 \nu_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s \nu_s) x^\alpha.$$

This shows that if we write $g_i = \lambda x^\alpha + \sum_j \lambda_j x^{\alpha(j)}$ with $x^{\alpha(j)} \prec x^\alpha$, then $\varphi(g_i) = \overline{\lambda} x^\alpha + \sum_j \overline{\lambda}_j x^{\alpha(j)}$ with $\overline{\lambda} \neq 0$ and hence $\mathbf{LT}(\varphi(g_i)) = \overline{\lambda} x^\alpha = \varphi(\mathbf{LT}(g_i))$, $\mathbf{LM}(\varphi(g_i)) = x^\alpha = \mathbf{LM}(g_i)$.

(ii) Since $J = \varphi^{-1}(\overline{J}) = \{f \in T \mid \varphi(f) \in \overline{J}\}$ and hence $\varphi(J) = \overline{J}$, we first note that $\overline{\mathcal{G}} \subset \overline{J}$. For any $0 \neq \overline{f} = \sum_{i=1}^m \overline{\lambda}_i x^{\alpha(i)} \in \overline{J}$ with $\mathbf{LT}(\overline{f}) = \overline{\lambda}_1 x^{\alpha(1)}$, putting $f = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x^{\alpha(i)}$ in T we have $f \in J$ with $\mathbf{LT}(f) = \lambda_1 x^{\alpha(1)}$ and, by Lemma 2.2,

$$\mathbf{LT}(\varphi(f)) = \varphi(\mathbf{LT}(f)) = \mathbf{LT}(\overline{f}) = \overline{\lambda}_1 x^{\alpha(1)}. \tag{1}$$

Moreover, by Theorem 1.2, there are $\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_k \in \Lambda^* = \Lambda - \{0\}$, $x^{\beta(1)},\ldots,x^{\beta(k)} \in \mathcal{B}$, where $\beta(j) = (\beta_{j1},\ldots,\beta_{jn}) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $1 \le j \le k$, and $g_{i_1},\ldots,g_{i_k} \in \mathcal{G}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x^{\alpha(1)} &= \mathbf{LM}(f) = x^{\beta(j)}\mathbf{LM}(g_{i_j}),\ 1 \le j \le k,\\ \lambda_1 x^{\alpha(1)} &= \mathbf{LT}(f) = \mu_1 x^{\beta(1)}\mathbf{LT}(g_{i_1}) + \cdots + \mu_k x^{\beta(k)}\mathbf{LT}(g_{i_k}). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Since $\mathbf{LT}(\overline{f}) = \overline{\lambda}_1 x^{\alpha(1)} \neq 0$, by the definition of φ we may assume that $\mu_j \notin I$ and $g_{i_j} \notin \{\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_s\}$, $1 \le j \le k$. Combining (1) and (2), it follows from (i) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{LM}(\overline{f}) = x^{\alpha(1)} = \mathbf{LM}(f) = x^{\beta(j)}\mathbf{LM}(\varphi(g_{i_j})),\ 1 \le j \le k,\\ &\mathbf{LT}(\overline{f}) = \overline{\lambda}_1 x^{\alpha(1)} = \varphi(\mathbf{LT}(f)) \;=\; \overline{\mu}_1 x^{\beta(1)}\varphi(\mathbf{LT}(g_{i_1})) + \cdots + \overline{\mu}_k x^{\beta(k)}\varphi(\mathbf{LT}(g_{i_k}))\\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\;\;= \overline{\mu}_1 x^{\beta(1)}\mathbf{LT}(\varphi(g_{i_1})) + \cdots + \overline{\mu}_k x^{\beta(1)}\mathbf{LT}(\varphi(g_{i_k})). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ satisfies the condition Theorem 1.2(ii), thereby $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ is a Gröbner basis for $\overline{J}$.

(iii) Since $\mathcal{G}$ is finite and since linear equations are solvable in Λ by our assumption, it follows that there is an algorithm to determine whether a coefficient of g_k is in $I = \langle \nu_1,\ldots,\nu_s\rangle$. □

In ([AL], Section 4.3) several basic applications of Gröbner bases over rings are presented. We next show that those basic applications of Grobner bases with coefficients in the quotient ring $R = \Lambda/I$ may be realized by using Gröbner bases with coefficients in the ring Λ. In what follows, we let the rings $T = \Lambda[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$, $R = \Lambda/I$ with $I = \langle \nu_1,\ldots \nu_s\rangle$, $A = R[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$, the canonical ring epimorphism φ: $T \to A$, the ideal $\overline{J} = \langle \overline{S}\rangle$ of A, and the ideal $J = \langle S\rangle$ of T be as in Theorem 2.3. So we have the Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1,\ldots,g_m\}$ for J and the Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}} = \varphi(\mathcal{G}) - \{0\}$ for $\overline{J}$.

2.4. Proposition (Ideal membership problem) Let $0 \neq \overline{f} = \sum_{i=1}^{s}\overline{\lambda}_i x^{\alpha(i)} \in A$ with all the $\overline{\lambda}_i \neq 0$. Then $\overline{f} \in \overline{J}$ if and only if $f = \sum_{i=1}^{s}\lambda_i x^{\alpha(i)} \in J$ if and only if $f \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}}_{+} 0$, i.e., by the division by $\mathcal{G}$ ([AL], Algorithm 4.1.1), f has a Gröbner representation:

$$\begin{aligned} f \;=\; &\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_i g_i,\ h_i \in T,\ g_i \in \mathcal{G},\\ &\text{satisfying } \mathbf{LM}(h_i)\mathbf{LM}(g_i) \preceq \mathbf{LM}(f) \text{ for all } h_i \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof Since $J = \varphi^{-1}(\overline{J})$ and $\varphi(f) = \overline{f}$, the assertion is clear by Theorem 2.3. □

Remark It follows from Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.4 that if $0 \neq \overline{f} \in \overline{J}$, then the Gröbner representation of f by $\mathcal{G}$ gives rise to a Gröbner representation of $\overline{f}$ by $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{f} = \varphi(f) \;&=\; \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{m}\varphi(h_i)\varphi(g_i),\ h_i \in T,\ g_i \in \mathcal{G},\\ &=\; \textstyle\sum_{k}\varphi(h_k)\overline{g}_k,\ 0 \neq \varphi(g_k) = \overline{g}_k \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}\\ &\quad\ \text{satisfying } \mathbf{LM}(\varphi(h_i))\mathbf{LM}(\overline{g}_k) \preceq \mathbf{LM}(\overline{f}) \text{ for all } \varphi(h_k) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

2.5. Proposition A complete set of coset representatives for $A/\overline{J}$ can be obtained by constructing a complete set of coset representatives for T/J as in ([AL], Theorem 4.3.3) provided linear equations are solvable in Λ and Λ has effective coset representatives (in the sense of ([AL], P.226).

Proof This assertion follows from the fact that $J=\varphi^{-1}(\overline{J})$ and hence $A/\overline{J}\cong T/J$. $\square$

2.6. Theorem With $T=\Lambda[x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m]$, $A=R[x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m]$, where $R=\Lambda/I$ is as before, if $\mathcal{G}$ is a Gröbner basis for J with respect to an elimination ordering $\prec$ on the standard free Λ-basis $\mathcal{B}$ of T with the y variables larger than the x variables, then $\overline{\mathcal{G}}\cap R[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ is a Gröbner basis for $\overline{J}\cap R[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ with respect to the $\prec$ restricted on $R[x_1,\dots,x_n]$.

Proof By Theorem 2.3, $\overline{\mathcal{G}}=\varphi(\mathcal{G})-\{0\}$ is a Gröbner basis for $\overline{J}$ with respect to the same elimination ordering on the standard free R-basis $\mathcal{B}$ of A, this assertion follows from ([AL], Theorem 4.3.6). $\square$

2.7. Corollary With $I=\langle \nu_1,\dots,\nu_s\rangle$ and $R=\Lambda/I$, it follows from Theorem 2.6 and ([AL], Proposition 4.3.9, Proposition 4.3.11, Theorem 4.3.13) that the following results hold.
(i) A generating set (indeed a Gröbner basis) for $\overline{J}_1\cap\overline{J}_2$, where $\overline{J}_1=\langle \overline{f}_1,\dots,\overline{f}_{m_1}\rangle$ and $\overline{J}_2=\langle \overline{h}_1,\dots,\overline{h}_{m_2}\rangle$ are ideals of $A=R[x_1,\dots,x_n]$, can be obtained by constructing a Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ for the ideal $J=\langle yf_1,\dots,yf_{m_1},(1-y)h_1,\dots,(1-y)h_{m_2},\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s\rangle$ in the polynomial Λ-algebra $\Lambda[y,x_1,\dots,x_n]$.
(ii) Let $\overline{J}_1$ and $\overline{J}_2$ be as in (i). Then the ideal quotient

$$\overline{J}_1:\overline{J}_2=\{\overline{f}\in A\mid \overline{f}\,\overline{J}_2\subseteq\overline{J}_1\}=\cap_{j=1}^{m_2}\overline{J}_1:\langle\overline{h}_j\rangle$$

can be obtained by constructing a generating set (indeed a Gröbner basis) of $\overline{J}_1\cap\langle\overline{h}_j\rangle$ as in (i).
(iii) If $\psi\colon B\to A$ is an R-algebra homomorphism of the polynomial R-algebra $B=R[y_1,\dots,y_m]$ to the polynomial R-algebra $A=R[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ such that $\psi(y_i)=\overline{f}_i$, $1\le i\le m$ then the kernel Kerψ of ψ can be obtained by constructing a Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ for the ideal $J=\langle y_1-f_1,\dots,y_m-f_m,\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s\rangle$ in the polynomial Λ-algebra $\Lambda[y_1,\dots,y_m,x_1,\dots,x_n]$.

$\square$

Finally, with $I=\langle \nu_1,\dots,\nu_s\rangle$ and $R=\Lambda/I$, we demonstrate how to obtain a generating set for the syzygy module $\mathrm{Syz}_A(\overline{f}_1,\dots,\overline{f}_t)$ of a set of nonzero elements $\{\overline{f}_1,\dots,\overline{f}_t\}\subset A=R[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ by working out necessary data in $T=\Lambda[x_1,\dots,x_n]$.

2.8. Theorem Let $\varphi\colon T\to A$ be the canonical ring epimorphism as before. A generating set for the syzygy module $\mathrm{Syz}_A(\overline{f}_1,\dots,\overline{f}_t)$ of a set of nonzero elements $\overline{S}=\{\overline{f}_1,\dots,\overline{f}_t\}\subset A=R[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ can be obtained as follows.
Step 1. Starting with the initial input-data $S=\{f_1,\dots,f_t,\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s\}$, ([AL], Algorithm 4.2.2), which is the Gröbner basis algorithm using Möller's technique [Möl], produces a Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}=\{g_1,\dots g_m\}$ for the ideal $J=\langle S\rangle$ of T and, at the same time, outputs a homogeneous generating set $H=\{V_1,\dots,V_\ell\}$ of the syzygy module $\mathrm{Syz}_T(\mathbf{LT}(g_1),\dots,\mathbf{LT}(g_m))\subset T^m$.

Step 2. By Theorem 2.3, $\overline{\mathcal{G}} = \varphi(\mathcal{G}) - \{0\}$ is a Gröbner basis for the ideal $\overline{J} = \langle \overline{S} \rangle$ of A. Suppose that $\overline{\mathcal{G}} = \{\overline{g}_{i_1}, \ldots, \overline{g}_{i_d}\}$. We conclude that the H obtained in Step 1 gives rise to a homogeneous generating set $\overline{H}$ for the syzygy module $\mathrm{Syz}_T(\mathbf{LT}(\overline{g}_{i_1}), \ldots, \mathbf{LT}(\overline{g}_{i_d}))$. More precisely, rewriting $\mathcal{G} = \{g_{i_1}, \ldots, g_{i_d}, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s\}$, we have $\overline{H} = \phi(H)$, where ϕ is the T-module epimorphism

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \phi : & T^m & \longrightarrow & A^d \\ & (h_1, \ldots, h_d, h'_1, \ldots, h'_s) & \mapsto & (\overline{h}_1, \ldots, \overline{h}_d) \end{array}$$

Step 3. With $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\overline{H}$ obtained in Step 2, a generating set for the syzygy module $\mathrm{Syz}_A(\overline{f}_1, \ldots, \overline{f}_t)$ can then be obtained by ([AL], Theorem 4.3.16).

Proof We need only to prove the conclusion on $\overline{H}$ in Step 2. To this end, rewrite $\mathcal{G} = \{g_{i_1}, \ldots, g_{i_d}, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s\}$. For $\overline{h}_1, \ldots, \overline{h}_d \in A$ represented by $h_1, \ldots, h_d \in T$ respectively, since $\mathrm{Ker}\varphi$ is the ideal generated by $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_q$ in T, we have by Theorem 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{h}_1, \ldots, \overline{h}_d) \in\ & \mathrm{Syz}_A(\mathbf{LT}(\overline{g}_{i_1}), \ldots, \mathbf{LT}(\overline{g}_{i_d})) \subset A^d \\ & \Leftrightarrow \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^d \overline{h}_j \mathbf{LT}(\overline{g}_{i_j}) = 0 \\ & \Leftrightarrow \varphi(\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^d h_j \mathbf{LT}(g_{i_j})) = \sum_{j=1}^d \varphi(h_j)\varphi(\mathbf{LT}(g_{i_j}) = \sum_{j=1}^d \varphi(h_j)\mathbf{LT}(\varphi(g_{i_j})) = 0 \\ & \Leftrightarrow \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^d h_j \mathbf{LT}(g_{i_j}) \in\ \mathrm{Ker}\varphi \\ & \Leftrightarrow \text{there are } h'_1, \ldots, h'_s \in T \text{ such that } \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^d h_j \mathbf{LT}(g_{i_j}) + \sum_{k=1}^s h'_k \nu_k = 0 \\ & \Leftrightarrow (h_1, \ldots, h_d, h'_1, \ldots, h'_s) \in\ \mathrm{Syz}_T(\mathbf{LT}(g_1), \ldots, \mathbf{LT}(g_m)) \in T^m \end{aligned}$$

Consider the T-module epimorphism ϕ presented above. It follows from the above discussion that

$$\phi\left(\mathrm{Syz}_T(\mathbf{LT}(g_{i_1}), \ldots, \mathbf{LT}(g_{i_d}), \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s)\right) =\ \mathrm{Syz}_A(\mathbf{LT}(\overline{g}_{i_1}), \ldots, \mathbf{LT}(\overline{g}_{i_d})).$$

Since the T-action of T on A^m and the A-action of A on A^d coincide, the homogeneous generating set H of the T-module $\mathrm{Syz}_T(\mathbf{LT}(g_{i_1}), \ldots, \mathbf{LT}(g_{i_d}), \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s)$ is mapped to a homogeneous generating set $\overline{H}$ of the A-module $\mathrm{Syz}_A(\mathbf{LT}(\overline{g}_{i_1}), \ldots, \mathbf{LT}(\overline{g}_{i_d}))$.

3. The Case of $R = \Lambda/I$ with Λ a PID

It is well known that the theory of Gröbner bases for a polynomial ring $T = \Lambda[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ over a principal ideal domain (PID) Λ is much better developed, because of the fact that division of elements in many PID's (especially in the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$) can be effectively implemented on computer, and that a finite strong Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ (see the definition below) can be constructed by using only S-polynomials for reductions ([AL], Algorithm 4.5.1). In this section we show that if I is a proper ideal of a PID Λ and $R = \Lambda/I$, then strong Gröbner bases for ideals of the polynomial ring $A = R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ are well defined and can be obtained by constructing strong Gröbner bases in $T = \Lambda[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Consequently, all basic applications of Gröbner bases at the level of A can be realized by using strong Gröbner bases at the level of T, as presented in the last section. Notations and conventions used in previous sections are maintained.

We start with an *arbitrary* commutative Noetherian ring Λ in which linear equations are solvable. As before, we write $T = \Lambda[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$; for a given proper ideal $I \subset \Lambda$, we write $R = \Lambda/I$, $A = R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$; and we let $\mathcal{B} = \{x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \mid \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$ denote the standard free Λ-basis of T and the standard free R-basis of A. Moreover, we fix a monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}$. Let φ: $T \to A$ be the canonical ring epimorphism with $\varphi(\sum_i \lambda_i x^{\alpha(i)}) = \sum_i \overline{\lambda}_i x^{\alpha(i)}$, where $\lambda_i \in \Lambda$, $x^{\alpha(i)} \in \mathcal{B}$, and $\overline{\lambda}_i = \lambda_i + I$ is the coset in $R = \Lambda/I$ represented by λ_i.

3.1. Definition Let J be an ideal of T and $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \ldots g_t\}$ a subset of nonzero elements in J. If, for each $0 \neq f \in J$, there exist $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $x^\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ and $g_i \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathbf{LT}(f) = \lambda x^\alpha \mathbf{LT}(g_i)$, then $\mathcal{G}$ is called a *strong Gröbner basis* for J.

Let Λ be a PID and $R = \Lambda/I$ a quotient ring of Λ. Then it follows from Section 2 that Gröbner bases for ideals of the polynomial ring $A = R[x_1 \ldots, x_n]$, in the sense of Definition 1.3, are well defined and can be obtained by constructing Gröbner bases in $T = \Lambda[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

3.2. Theorem With notation as fixed above, let the ideal $I = \langle \nu \rangle$ be generated by ν, and let $\overline{J} = \langle \overline{S} \rangle$ be an ideal of A generated by the set of nonzero elements $\overline{S} = \{\overline{f}_1, \ldots, \overline{f}_t\}$, where $\overline{f}_i = \sum_j \overline{\lambda}_{ij} x^{\alpha(j)}$, $1 \leq i \leq s$. Consider in T the set of elements $S = \{f_1, \ldots, f_t, \nu\}$, where $f_i = \sum_j \lambda_{ij} x^{\alpha(j)}$, and let $J = \langle S \rangle$ be the ideal of T generated by S. If, with respect to a given monomial ordering $\prec$, $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \ldots, g_m\}$ is a strong Gröbner basis of J constructed by means of ([AL], Algorithm 4.5.1) using the initial input-data S in T, then
(i) the set

$$\overline{\mathcal{G}} = \{\overline{g}_k = \varphi(g_k) \mid g_k \in \mathcal{G},\ g_k \neq \nu\} = \varphi(\mathcal{G}) - \{0\}$$

is a strong Gröbner basis for $\overline{J}$ in the sense of Definition 3.1; and
(ii) each $g_k \in \mathcal{G} - \{\nu\}$ has a representation $g_k = g_k' + g_k''$ in which

$$\begin{aligned} g_k' &= \textstyle\sum_q \mu_{kq} x^{\alpha(q)} \text{ with } \mu_{kq} \notin I \text{ for all } q, \\ g_k'' &= \textstyle\sum_\ell \mu_{k\ell} x^{\alpha(\ell)} \text{ with } \mu_{k\ell} \in I \text{ for all } \ell, \end{aligned}$$

and such a representation can be algorithmically determined. Hence each element of the Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ obtained in (ii) has a "real representation" in A, i.e., $\overline{g}_k = \varphi(g_k) = \varphi(g_k') = \sum_q \overline{\mu}_{kq} x^{\alpha(q)}$ with all the $\overline{\mu}_{kq} \neq 0$.

Proof (i) By ([AL], Lemma 4.5.8), the strong Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ is first of all a Gröbner basis for J in the sense of Definition 1.3. It follows that $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies Theorem 2.3(i). So, actually as in the proof of Theorem 2.3(ii), if $0 \neq \overline{f} = \sum_{i=1}^m \overline{\lambda}_i x^{\alpha(i)} \in \overline{J}$ with $\mathbf{LT}(\overline{f}) = \overline{\lambda}_1 x^{\alpha(1)}$, then, putting $f = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x^{\alpha(i)}$ in T we have $f \in J$ with $\mathbf{LT}(f) = \lambda_1 x^{\alpha(1)}$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is a strong Gröbner basis of J, there exist $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $x^\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ and $g_i \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathbf{LT}(f) = \lambda_1 x^{\alpha(1)} = \lambda x^\alpha \mathbf{LT}(g_i)$. Noticing $\overline{\lambda}_1 x^{\alpha(1)} \neq 0$, we conclude that $g_i \neq \nu$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.3(i) we have

$$\mathbf{LT}(\overline{f}) = \overline{\lambda}_1 x^{\alpha(1)} = \varphi(\mathbf{LT}(f)) = \overline{\lambda} x^\alpha \varphi(\mathbf{LT}(g_i)) = \overline{\lambda} x^\alpha \mathbf{LT}(\varphi(g_i)).$$

This shows that $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ is a strong Gröbner basis for $\overline{J}$ in the sense of Definition 3.1.
(ii) Since $\mathcal{G}$ is finite and since linear equations are solvable in the PID Λ, it follows that there is an algorithm to determine whether a coefficient of g_k is in $I = \langle \nu \rangle$. □

By Theorem 3.2 and Section 2, the next theorem is straightforward.

3.3. Theorem Let the Gröbner bases $\mathcal{G}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ be as in Theorem 3.2. Then, similar results as presented in Proposition 2.4 – Theorem 2.8 hold, that is, all basic applications of the Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ at the level of $A = (\Lambda/I)[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ can be realized by using the strong Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ at the level of $T = \Lambda[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

□

In view of the practical calculation, perhaps the most effective application of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 should be to the case where $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}/\langle m \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_m$ (the residue class ring modulo m), in particular, when m is not a prime. This advantage will be further illustrated in Section 6.

Although Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 apply also to the case where $\Lambda = K[y]$ is a polynomial ring in one variable over a field K, better results will be obtained in Section 5, namely we will see that the Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ can be obtained by constructing a Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ in the polynomial ring $K[y, x_1, \dots x_n]$ by means of the classical Buchberger algorithm, thereby all basic applications of $\overline{G}$ at the level of A can be realized by using $\mathcal{G}$ at the level of $K[y, x_1, \dots x_n]$.

4. The Case of $R = E[a_1, \dots, a_m]$

Let E be a commutative Noetherian ring in which linear equations are solvable. Then Gröbner bases in the polynomial ring $E[y_1, \dots, y_k]$ in any $k \geq 1$ variables are computable in the sense of [AL]. Let $R = E[a_1, \dots, a_m]$ be a finitely generated E-algebra with generating set $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$. Then $R \cong E[y_1, \dots, y_m]/I$, where $E[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ is the polynomial E-algebra in variables $y_1, \dots, y_m$, and I is the kernel of the canonical E-algebra epimorphism $E[y_1, \dots, y_m] \rightarrow R$ with $y_i \mapsto a_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. In this section we show that Gröbner bases for ideals of the polynomial ring $A = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, in the sense of Definition 1.3, are well defined, and that if a set of generators of I is known, say $I = \langle \nu_1, \dots \nu_s \rangle$, then Gröbner bases in A can be obtained by constructing Gröbner bases in the polynomial E-algebra $T = E[y_1, \dots, y_m, x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Consequently, basic applications of Gröbner bases at the level of A can be realized by using Gröbner bases at the level of T, as presented in Section 2. Notations and conventions fixed in the previous sections are maintained.

4.1. Proposition Let $R = E[a_1, \dots, a_m]$ be as given above. If linear equations are solvable in E, then linear equations are solvable in R.

Proof If linear equations are solvable in E, then Gröbner bases in the polynomial E-algebra $E[y_1,\ldots,y_m]$ are computable, thereby linear equations are solvable in $E[y_1,\ldots,y_m]$ are solvable by ([AL], Chapter 4). Now, since $R\cong E[y_1,\ldots,y_m]/I$, the conclusion follows from Proposition 2.1. $\square$

By Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 2.3, in principle Gröbner bases in the polynomial R-algebra $A=R[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ in any $n\ge 1$ variables are well defined, and Gröbner bases for ideal in A can be obtained by constructing Gröbner bases in the polynomial $E[y_1,\ldots,y_m]$-algebra $Q=(E[y_1,\ldots,y_m])[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ in n-variables $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ (i.e., by constructing Gröbner bases with coefficients in $E[y_1,\ldots,y_m]$). However, with the aid of a reasult of [AB], we will show that Gröbner bases for ideals in A can indeed be obtained by constructing Gröbner bases in the polynomial E-algebra $T=E[y_1,\ldots,y_m,x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ in $m+n$ variables (i.e., by constructing Gröbner bases with coefficients in E).

To continue the discussion, let us first bear in mind that as an associative ring,

$$T=E[y_1,\ldots,y_m,x_1,\ldots,x_n]=(E[y_1,\ldots,y_m])[x_1,\ldots,x_n]=Q.$$

For convenience, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{B}=\{x^\alpha y^\beta=x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}y_1^{\beta_1}\cdots y_m^{\beta_m}\mid \alpha=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)\in\mathbb{N}^n,\ \beta=(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_m)\in\mathbb{N}^m\},\\
&\mathcal{B}_x=\{x^\alpha=x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}\mid \alpha=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)\in\mathbb{N}^n\},\\
&\mathcal{B}_y=\{y^\beta=y_1^{\beta_1}\cdots y_m^{\beta_m}\mid \beta=(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_m)\in\mathbb{N}^m\},
\end{aligned}$$

for the standard free E-basis of T, the standard free R-basis of A, and the standard free E-basis of $E[y_1,\ldots,y_m]$, respectively. Since any monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}$ gives rise to a monomial ordering on $\mathcal{B}_x$ and $\mathcal{B}_y$ respectively, we use the same $\prec$ to denote the two obtained monomial ordering on $\mathcal{B}_x$ and $\mathcal{B}_y$ respectively. Thus, if $0\ne f\in T$, then, as an element of Q, f can be expressed, with respect to $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}_x$, as

$$f=h(y)x^\alpha+\text{ lower terms in the } x \text{ variables},$$

where $h(y)\in E[y_1,\ldots,y_m]$. So, as an element of Q, the leading coefficient of f with respect to $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}_x$ is then defined to be $\mathbf{LC}_x(f)=h(y)$.

An indispensable bridge in reaching our main result of this section (Theorem 4.4.(ii)) is the following

4.2. Proposition ([AB], Proposition 2.1) With notation as above, let J be an ideal of the polynomial ring $T=E[y_1,\ldots,y_m,x_1,\ldots,x_n]=(E[y_1,\ldots,y_m])[x_1,\ldots,x_n]=Q$, and let $\prec$ be a monomial ordering on $\mathcal{B}$ such that

$$x^\alpha y^\beta\prec x^{\alpha'}y^{\beta'}\Leftrightarrow x^\alpha\prec x^{\alpha'} \text{ or } x^\alpha=x^{\alpha'} \text{ and } y^\beta\prec y^{\beta'},$$

i.e., $\prec$ is an elimination ordering with the x variables larger than the y variables. The following statements hold.
(i) If, with respect to $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{G}=\{g_1,\dots g_t\}$ is a Gröbner basis of J in T (i.e., a Gröbner basis with coefficients in E), then $\mathcal{G}$ is a Gröbner basis of J in Q with respect to $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}_x$ (i.e., a Gröbner basis with coefficients in $E[y_1,\dots,y_m]$).
(ii) With $\mathcal{G}$ as presented in (i), if we put $G=\{h_i=\mathbf{LC}_x(g_i)\mid g_i\in\mathcal{G}\}$, then G is a Gröbner basis for the ideal $J_y=\langle\mathbf{LC}_x(f)\mid f\in J\rangle$ of $E[y_1,\dots,y_m]$ with respect to $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}_y$.

$\square$

Remark The assertion (ii) of Proposition 4.2 will not be used in the remaining part of this paper.

To better relate the main result of this section to Theorem 2.3, in what follows we let $R=E[y_1,\dots y_m]/I$ with $I=\langle\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s\rangle$, and we let φ: $Q=(E[y_1,\dots,y_m])[x_1,\dots,x_n]\rightarrow R[x_1,\dots,x_n]=A$ be the canonical ring epimorphism with $\varphi(\sum_i h_ix^{\alpha(i)})=\sum_i\overline{h}_ix^{\alpha(i)}$, where $h_i\in E[y_1,\dots,x_n]$, $x^{\alpha(i)}\in\mathcal{B}_x$, and $\overline{h}_i=h_i+I$ is the coset in R represented by h_i. If $\prec$ is a given monomial ordering on $\mathcal{B}$, then, for each $0\neq f\in T=Q$, we write $\mathbf{LT}(f)$ for the leading term of f in T with respect to $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}$, and we write $\mathbf{LT}_x(f)$ for the leading term of f in Q with respect to $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}_x$. Moreover, if $\varphi(f)=\overline{f}=\sum_i\overline{h}_ix^{\alpha(i)}\neq 0$, we write $\mathbf{LT}_x(\overline{f})$ for the leading term of $\overline{f}$ in A with respect to $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}_x$; and if $0\neq h\in E[y_1,\dots,y_m]$, we write $\mathbf{LT}_y(h)$ for the leading term of h with respect to $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}_y$. Consequently we have the corresponding $\mathbf{LM}(f)$, $\mathbf{LM}_x(f)$, $\mathbf{LM}_x(\overline{f})$ and $\mathbf{LM}_y(h)$ in T, Q, A and $E[y_1,\dots,y_m]$ respectively.

4.3. Lemma Let $\prec$ be the elimination ordering on $\mathcal{B}$ as described in Proposition 4.2. With notation as above, let $0\neq f=\sum_{i,j}\varepsilon_{ij}x^{\alpha(i)}y^{\beta(j)}\in T$ with $\mathbf{LT}(f)=\varepsilon_{k\ell}x^{\alpha(k)}y^{\beta(\ell)}$, where $\varepsilon_{ij}\in E$ and $x^{\alpha(i)}y^{\beta(j)}\in\mathcal{B}$. The following statements hold.
(i) Viewing f as an element of Q, if, after rewriting f in the x variables, $f=\sum_q h_qx^{\alpha(q)}$ with $h_q\in E[y_1,\dots,y_m]$, then $\mathbf{LT}_x(f)=h_kx^{\alpha(k)}$ with $\mathbf{LT}_y(h_k)=\varepsilon_{k\ell}y^{\beta(\ell)}$.
(ii) Let h_k be as in (i). Then f can be reduced modulo $\{\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s\}$ in T with respect to $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}$ if and only if h_k can be reduced modulo $\{\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s\}$ in $E[y_1,\dots,y_m]$ with respect to $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}_y$.

Proof (i) Since as an element of T, $f=\sum_{i,j}\varepsilon_{ij}x^{\alpha(i)}y^{\beta(j)}\in T$ with $\mathbf{LT}(f)=\varepsilon_{k\ell}x^{\alpha(k)}y^{\beta(\ell)}$ by the assumption, it follows from the expression $f=\sum_q h_qx^{\alpha(q)}$ of f in Q, and the definition of $\prec$ that $\mathbf{LT}_x(f)=h_kx^{\alpha(k)}$ with $\mathbf{LT}_y(h_k)=\varepsilon_{k\ell}y^{\beta(\ell)}$.

(ii) Suppose that f can be reduced modulo $\{\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s\}$ in T with respect to $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}$. Then there exist $\varepsilon_1,\dots\varepsilon_s\in E$ and $x^{\alpha(1)}y^{\beta(1)},\dots,x^{\alpha(s)}y^{\beta(s)}\in\mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{LT}(f)=\varepsilon_{k\ell}x^{\alpha(k)}y^{\beta(\ell)}=\varepsilon_1x^{\alpha(1)}y^{\beta(1)}\mathbf{LT}(\nu_1)+\cdots+\varepsilon_sx^{\alpha(s)}y^{\beta(s)}\mathbf{LT}(\nu_s),\\&\mathbf{LM}(f)=x^{\alpha(k)}y^{\beta(\ell)}=x^{\alpha(p)}y^{\beta(p)}\mathbf{LM}(\nu_p)\text{ for all }\varepsilon_p\neq 0.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

Noticing that $\{\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s\}\subset I\subset E[y_1,\dots,y_m]$, the feature of $\prec$ then entails that $\mathbf{LM}(\nu_p)=$

$\mathbf{LM}_y(\nu_p)$ and $\mathbf{LT}(\nu_p) = \mathbf{LT}_y(\nu_p)$ for $1 \le p \le s$. It follows from (1) and (i) that

$$\begin{aligned} & x^{\alpha(k)} = x^{\alpha(p)} \text{ for all } \varepsilon_p \neq 0, \\ & \mathbf{LM}_y(h_k) = y^{\beta(\ell)} = y^{\beta(p)}\mathbf{LM}_y(\nu_p) \text{ for all } \varepsilon_p \neq 0, \\ & \mathbf{LT}_y(h_k) = \varepsilon_{k\ell} y^{\beta(\ell)} = \varepsilon_1 y^{\beta(1)}\mathbf{LT}_y(\nu_1) + \cdots + \varepsilon_s y^{\beta(s)}\mathbf{LT}_y(\nu_s). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

This shows that h_k can be reduced modulo $\{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s\}$ in $E[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$ with respect to $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}_y$.

Conversely, suppose that h_k can be reduced modulo $\{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s\}$ in in $E[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$ with respect to $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}_y$. Then there exist $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_s \in E$ and $y^{\beta(1)}, \ldots, y^{\beta(s)} \in \mathcal{B}_y$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{LT}_y(h_k) = \varepsilon_{k\ell} y^{\beta(\ell)} = \varepsilon_1 y^{\beta(1)}\mathbf{LT}_y(\nu_1) + \cdots + \varepsilon_s y^{\beta(s)}\mathbf{LT}_y(\nu_s), \\ & \mathbf{LM}_y(h_k) = y^{\beta(\ell)} = y^{\beta(p)}\mathbf{LM}_y(\nu_p) \text{ for all } \varepsilon_p \neq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Multiplying both sides of the two equalities of (3) by $x^{\alpha(k)}$, and noticing again the feature of $\prec$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{LT}(f) = \varepsilon_{k\ell} x^{\alpha(k)} y^{\beta(\ell)} = \varepsilon_1 x^{\alpha(k)} y^{\beta(1)}\mathbf{LT}(\nu_1) + \cdots + \varepsilon_s x^{\alpha(k)} y^{\beta(s)}\mathbf{LT}(\nu_s), \\ & \mathbf{LM}(f) = x^{\alpha(k)} y^{\beta(\ell)} = x^{\alpha(k)} y^{\beta(p)}\mathbf{LM}(\nu_p) \text{ for all } \varepsilon_p \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that f can be reduced modulo $\{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s\}$ in T with respect to $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}$, as desired.

□

4.4. Theorem With the ideal $I = \langle \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s \rangle \subset E[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$ and $R = E[y_1, \ldots, y_m]/I$ as before, given an ideal $\overline{J} = \langle \overline{S} \rangle$ of $A = R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ generated by $\overline{S} = \{\overline{f}_1, \ldots, \overline{f}_t\}$, where $\overline{f}_i = \sum_j \overline{h}_{ij} x^{\alpha(j)}$ with $\overline{h}_{ij} = h_{ij} + I$ the coset in R represented by $h_{ij} \in E[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$, $1 \le i \le t$, let $J = \langle S \rangle$ be the ideal of $T = E[y_1, \ldots, y_m, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ generated by $S = \{f_1, \ldots, f_t, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s\}$, where $f_i = \sum_j h_{ij} x^{\alpha(j)}$, $1 \le i \le t$. Let $\prec$ be the elimination ordering on the standard free E-basis $\mathcal{B}$ of T as described in Proposition 4.2. Suppose that $G = \{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s\}$ forms a Gröbner basis of I with respect to $\prec$ on the standard free E-basis $\mathcal{B}_y$ of $E[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$. The following statements hold.

(i) If, with respect to $\prec$ on B, $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \ldots, g_m\}$ is a Gröbner basis of J constructed by means of ([AL], Algorithm 4.2.1) using the initial input-data S in T, then for each $g_i \notin G$, we have $\mathbf{LT}_x(\varphi(g_i)) = \varphi(\mathbf{LT}_x(g_i))$ and hence $\mathbf{LM}_x(\varphi(g_i)) = \mathbf{LM}_x(g_i)$, where

$$\varphi : \quad Q = (E[y_1, \ldots, y_m])[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \longrightarrow R[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = A$$

is the canonical ring epimorphism with $\varphi(\sum_i h_i x^{\alpha(i)}) = \sum_i \overline{h}_i x^{\alpha(i)}$.

(ii) Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the Gröbner basis of J presented in (i). Considering the image $\varphi(\mathcal{G})$ in A, the set

$$\overline{\mathcal{G}} = \{\overline{g}_k = \varphi(g_k) \mid g_k \in \mathcal{G},\ g_k \neq \nu_j,\ 1 \le j \le s\} = \varphi(\mathcal{G}) - \{0\}$$

is a Gröbner basis for $\overline{J}$ with respect to the monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}_x$.

(iii) With $\mathcal{G}$ as presented in (i), each $g_k \in \mathcal{G} - \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_s\}$, as an element of Q, has a representation $g_k = g_k' + g_k''$ in which

$$\begin{array}{l} g_k' = \sum_q h_{kq} x^{\alpha(q)} \text{ with } h_{kq} \notin I \text{ for all } q, \\ g_k'' = \sum_\ell h_{k\ell} x^{\alpha(\ell)} \text{ with } h_{k\ell} \in I \text{ for all } \ell, \end{array}$$

and such a representation can be algorithmically determined. Hence each element of the Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ obtained in (ii) has a "real representation" in A, i.e., $\overline{g}_k = \varphi(g_k) = \varphi(g_k') = \sum_q \overline{h}_{kq} x^{\alpha(q)}$ with all the $\overline{h}_{kq} \neq 0$.

Proof (i) Let $g_i \in \mathcal{G}$ with $g_i \notin G = \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_s\}$. If $g_i \in \{f_1, \dots, f_t\}$, then it is clear that that $\mathbf{LT}_x(\varphi(g_i)) = \varphi(\mathbf{LT}_x(g_i))$. If $g_i \notin \{f_1, \dots, f_t, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_s\}$, then it follows from ([AL], Algorithm 4.2.1) that g_i is obtained by passing through some i-th round executing the While loop, that is, $g_i := r$, where r appears as the remainder of a reduction

$$H_1 g_1 + \cdots + H_t g_t \xrightarrow{G'}_+ r$$

which is minimal with respect to G'. So, g_i cannot be further reduced modulo G'. Note that $G \subset S \subset G'$ since S is the initial input-data running the algorithm. Hence, g_i cannot be reduced modulo G in T. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that if, as an element of T, $g_i = \sum_{p,q} \varepsilon_{pq} x^{\alpha(p)} y^{\beta(q)}$ with $\mathbf{LT}(g_i) = \varepsilon_{p'q'} x^{\alpha(p')} y^{\beta(q')}$, and if, as an element of Q expressed in the x variables, $g_i = \sum_k h_k x^{\alpha(k)}$ with $h_k \in E[y_1, \dots, y_m]$, then $\mathbf{LT}_x(g_i) = h_{p'} x^{\alpha(p')}$ and $h_{p'}$ cannot be reduced modulo G. Thereby we conclude that $\varphi(g_i) = \overline{h}_{p'} x^{\alpha(p')} + \sum_{k \neq p'} \overline{h}_k x^{\alpha(k)}$ with $\overline{h}_{p'} \neq 0$. Otherwise, $\overline{h}_{p'} = 0$ would imply $h_{p'} \in I$ and hence $h_{p'}$ could be reduced modulo G (note that G is a Gröbner basis of I). Therefore, we have $\mathbf{LT}_x(\varphi(g_i)) = \overline{h}_{p'} x^{\alpha(p')} = \varphi(\mathbf{LT}_x(g_i))$, as desired.

(ii) Since (i) holds and, by Proposition 4.2(i), $\mathcal{G}$ is a Gröbner basis of J in Q with respect to $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}_x$, we are now in the situation of Theorem 2.3 with $\Lambda = E[y_1, \dots, y_m]$. So the proof of Theorem 2.3(ii) can be completely adapted to show that $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ is a Gröbner basis for $\overline{J}$ with respect to the monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}_x$.

(iii) Since $\mathcal{G}$ is finite and since $G = \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_s\}$ is a Gröbner basis of I by our assumption, it follows that there is an algorithm to determine whether a coefficient of g_k (as an element of Q) is in $I = \langle \nu_1, \dots, \nu_s \rangle$. □

In light of Proposition 4.2, one may check that the following holds.

4.5. Theorem Let the Gröbner bases $\mathcal{G}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ be as in Theorem 4.4. Then, similar results as presented in Proposition 2.4 – Theorem 2.8 hold, that is, all basic applications of the Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ at the level of $A = (E[y_1, \dots, y_m]/I)[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ can be realized by using the Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ at the level of $T = E[y_1, \dots, y_m, x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

5. The Case of $R = D[\vartheta_1, \ldots, \vartheta_m]$ with D a PID

In consideration of the prominent feature of strong Gröbner bases over a PID (see [AL], Section 4.5), in this section we demonstrate in more details the application of Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.5 to a finitely generated D-algebra $R = D[\vartheta_1, \ldots, \vartheta_m]$ with the generating set $\{\vartheta_1, \ldots, \vartheta_m\}$, where D is a PID including the case of $D = K$ being a field. Since $R \cong D[y_1, \ldots, y_m]/I$, where $D[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$ is the polynomial ring in m variables over D and I is an ideal of $D[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$, in what follows we let $R = D[y_1, \ldots, y_m]/I$. All notations and conventions used before are maintained.

5.1. Corollary If in Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.5, $E = D$ is a PID, respectively $E = K$ is a field, then
(i) the Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ in the sense of Definition 1.3 can be obtained by constructing a strong Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ in the polynomial ring $D[y_1, \ldots, y_m, x_n, \ldots, x_n]$ by means of ([AL], Algorithm 4.5.1), respectively by constructing a Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ in the polynomial ring $K[y_1, \ldots, y_m, x_n, \ldots, x_n]$ by means of the classical Buchberger algorithm; and
(ii) similar results as presented in Proposition 2.4 – Theorem 2.8 hold, that is, all basic applications of the Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ at the level of $A = (D[y_1, \ldots, y_m]/I)[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ can be realized by using the strong Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ at the level of $T = D[y_1, \ldots, y_m, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, respectively all basic applications of the Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ at the level of $A = (K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]/I)[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ can be realized by using the (classical) Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ at the level of $T = K[y_1, \ldots, y_m, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. □

In the case of $E = K$ being a field, the following two significant cases immediately illustrate the advantage of Corollary 5.1:

(1) $R = K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]/M$ is an extension field of K, where $M = \langle f_1, \ldots f_t\rangle$ is a maximal ideal of $K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$.

(2) $R = K[f_1, \ldots, f_m]$ is the K-subalgebra generated by polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Note that in this case $R \cong K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]/I$, and that by ([AL], Theorem 2.4.2), a Gröbner basis of I can be worked out by calculating a Gröbner basis of $I = H \cap K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$ by means of the classical Buchberger algorithm, where $H = \langle y_1 - f_1, \ldots, y_m - f_m\rangle \subset K[y_1, \ldots, y_m, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Remark Note that every Gröbner basis over a field K is certainly a strong Gröbner basis in the sense of Definition 3.1, and note also that in Corollary 5.1(i) we emphasized that the obtained Gröbner $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ is the one in the sense of Definition 1.3 instead of a strong Gröbner basis. The reason is that if $m \geq 2$, then, as it is pointed out to us by [AL] on page 251, the Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ constructed in $T = K[y_1, \ldots, y_m, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ may not be a strong Gröbner basis in $Q = (K[y_1, \ldots y_m])[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. So, the Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ we obtained in the way as presented in Theorem 4.4 may not be a strong Gröbner basis. Nevertheless, this does not matter practical applications of Gröbner bases in A, because Theorem 5.1(ii) tells us that the basic applications of $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ are realized by using the (strong or classical) Gröbner bases $\mathcal{G}$ at the level of T.

Whereas we will see that in the case that $K[y]$ is the polynomial ring in one variable y over a field K, Corollary 5.1 may be turned to be much better due to a nice result of [AL] quoted below.

5.2. Proposition ([AL], Theorem 4.5.12) Let $K[y]$ be the polynomial ring in one variable y over a field K. Then $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \ldots, g_m\}$ is a Gröbner basis in $T = K[y, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ with respect to an elimination ordering with the x variables larger than y if and only if $\mathcal{G}$ is a strong Gröbner basis in $Q = (K[y])[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

□

5.3. Theorem With notation as fixed above, let $I = \langle \nu \rangle$ be an ideal of $K[y]$ generated by the single polynomial ν, and $R = K[y]/I$. Let $\overline{J} = \langle \overline{S} \rangle$ be an ideal of $A = R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ generated by the set of nonzero elements $\overline{S} = \{\overline{f}_1, \ldots, \overline{f}_s\}$, where $\overline{f}_i = \sum_j \overline{\lambda}_{ij} x^{\alpha(j)}$, $1 \le i \le s$. Consider in $T = K[y, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ the set of elements $S = \{f_1, \ldots, f_s, \nu\}$, where $f_i = \sum_j \lambda_{ij} x^{\alpha(j)}$, and let $J = \langle S \rangle$ be the ideal of T generated by S. If, with respect to an elimination ordering $\prec$ with y smaller than all the x_i, $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \ldots, g_m\}$ is a Gröbner basis of J constructed by the classical Buchberger algorithm using the initial input-data S in T, then
(i) the set

$$\overline{\mathcal{G}} = \{\overline{g}_k = \varphi(g_k) \mid g_k \in \mathcal{G},\ g_k \ne a\} = \varphi(\mathcal{G}) - \{0\}$$

is a strong Gröbner basis for $\overline{J}$ in the sense of Definition 3.1, where φ is the canonical ring epimorphism from $Q = (K[y])[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ to A;
(ii) each $g_k \in \mathcal{G} - \{\nu\}$, as an element of Q, has a representation $g_k = g_k' + g_k''$ in which

$$\begin{aligned} g_k' &= \textstyle\sum_q h_{kq} x^{\alpha(q)} \text{ with } h_{kq} \notin I \text{ for all } q, \\ g_k'' &= \textstyle\sum_\ell h_{k\ell} x^{\alpha(\ell)} \text{ with } h_{k\ell} \in I \text{ for all } \ell, \end{aligned}$$

and such a representation can be algorithmically determined, thereby each element of the Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ obtained in (ii) has a "real representation" in A, i.e., $\overline{g}_k = \varphi(g_k) = \varphi(g_k') = \sum_q \overline{h}_{kq} x^{\alpha(q)}$ with all the $\overline{h}_{kq} \ne 0$; and
(iii) similar results as presented in Proposition 2.4 – Theorem 2.8 hold, that is, all basic applications of the Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ at the level of A can be realized by using the (classical) Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ at the level of T.

Proof (i) By Proposition 5.2, $\mathcal{G}$ is first of all a strong Gröbner basis of J in Q. Now, the remaining argument is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2.
(ii) Since $\mathcal{G}$ is finite and since $I = \langle \nu \rangle \subset K[y]$, where $K[y]$ is the polynomial ring in one variable over a field K, the classical division algorithm can be used to determine whether a coefficient of g_k (as an element of Q) is in I.
(iii) This follows from Corollary 5.1(ii). □

We next consider the case when D is a PID with the field of fractions K. Let $K \subset L$ be a field extension and let $\vartheta \in L$ be an algebraic element over K. We are interested in the ring extension $D \subset R = D[\vartheta]$ where the minimal polynomial of ϑ over K is known.

5.4. Lemma Let $R = D[\vartheta]$ be as above. Suppose that $q(y) \in K[y]$ is the minimal polynomial of ϑ over K. Then there are $b, d \in D$ and a primitive polynomial $p(y) \in D[y]$ (which is necessarily irreducible) such that $bq(y) = dp(y)$. Moreover $D[\vartheta] \cong D[y]/\langle p(y)\rangle$.

□

5.5. Theorem With $R = D[\vartheta]$ as fixed above, let $\overline{J} = \langle \overline{S}\rangle$ be an ideal of $A = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ generated by the set of nonzero elements $\overline{S} = \{\overline{f}_1, \dots, \overline{f}_s\}$, where $\overline{f}_i = \sum_j \lambda_{ij}(\vartheta)x^{\alpha(j)}$ with $\lambda_{ij}(\vartheta) \in R$, $1 \le i \le s$. Let $p(y)$ be the polynomial as presented in Lemma 5.4. Consider in the polynomial ring $T = D[y, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ the set of elements $S = \{f_1, \dots, f_s, p(y)\}$, where $f_i = \sum_j \lambda_{ij}(y)x^{\alpha(j)}$ with $\lambda_{ij}(y)$ given by replacing ϑ by y in the coefficients of $\overline{f}_i$, and let $J = \langle S\rangle$ be the ideal of T generated by S. If, with respect to a monomial ordering $\prec$ as described in Proposition 4.2, $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ is a strong Gröbner basis of J constructed by means of ([AL], Algorithm 4.5.1) using the initial input-data S in T, then
(i) the set

$$\overline{\mathcal{G}} = \{\overline{g}_k = \varphi(g_k) \mid g_k \in \mathcal{G},\ g_k \neq a\} = \varphi(\mathcal{G}) - \{0\}$$

is a Gröbner basis for $\overline{J}$ in the sense of Definition 1.3, where φ: $T \to A$ is the canonical ring epimorphism with $\varphi(\sum_J \lambda_j(y)x^{\alpha(j)}) = \sum_j \lambda_j(\vartheta)x^{\alpha(j)}$;
(ii) each $g_k \in \mathcal{G} - \{p(y)\}$, as an element of Q, has a representation $g_k = g'_k + g''_k$ in which

$$g'_k = \textstyle\sum_q \lambda_{kq}(y)x^{\alpha(q)} \text{ with } \lambda_{kq}(y) \notin \langle p(y)\rangle \text{ for all } q,$$
$$g''_k = \textstyle\sum_\ell \lambda_{k\ell}(y)x^{\alpha(\ell)} \text{ with } \lambda_{k\ell}(y) \in \langle p(y)\rangle \text{ for all } \ell,$$

and such a representation can be algorithmically determined, thereby each element of the Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ obtained in (ii) has a "real representation" in A, i.e., $\overline{g}_k = \varphi(g_k) = \varphi(g'_k) = \sum_q \lambda_{kq}(\vartheta)x^{\alpha(q)}$ with all the $\lambda_{kq}(\vartheta) \neq 0$; and
(iii) similar results as presented in Proposition 2.4 – Theorem 2.8 hold, that is, all basic applications of the Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ at the level of A can be realized by using the strong Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ at the level of T.

Proof (i) Since a strong Gröbner basis in the sense of Definition 3.1 is certainly a Gröbner basis in the sense of Definition 1.3, it follows from Theorem 4.4 that $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ is a Gröbner basis for the ideal $\overline{J}$.
(ii) Since $\mathcal{G}$ is finite and since $\langle p(y)\rangle \subset D[y]$, where $D[y]$ is the polynomial ring in one variable over the PID D in which linear equitions are solvable, it follows that there is an algorithm to determine whether a coefficient of g_k (as an element of Q) is in $I = \langle \nu_1, \dots, \nu_s\rangle$.
(iii) This follows from Theorem 4.5. □

Remark Note that the Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ in Theorem 5.5 is a strong Gröbner basis in the sense of Definition 3.1, and note also that we emphasized that the obtained Gröbner $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ is the one in the sense of Definition 1.3 instead of a strong Gröbner basis. The reason is that the Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ may not be a strong Gröbner basis in $Q = (D[y])[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, even if $D = \mathbb{Z}$ is the ring of integers ([AL], Example 4.5.4). So, the Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ we obtained in the way as presented in Theorem 5.5(i) may not be a strong Gröbner basis. Nevertheless, this does not matter practical applications of Gröbner bases in A, because Theorem 5.5.(ii) tells us that the basic applications of $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ are realized by using the strong Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ at the level of T.

Obviously, Theorem 5.5 immediately applies to the case of $R = \mathbb{Z}[\vartheta]$, where ϑ is an *arbitrary algebraic number*, thereby $\mathbb{Z}[\vartheta]$ may not even be a UFD.

6. The Case of $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[y]/\langle f\rangle$ Being a Galois Ring

We end this paper by applying the results of previous sections to the Gröbner basis theory over Galois rings.

Let p be a prime in $\mathbb{Z}$ and m, n be positive integers. Recall from the literature ([Mc], [Rag]) that if $\hat{f}$ is a monic basic irreducible polynomial of degree m in the one-variable polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[y]$ (i.e., $\hat{f}$ is irreducible modulo p), then the quotient ring $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[y]/\langle \hat{f}\rangle$ is called the Galois ring of order p^{mn} and characteristic p^n.

Consider the polynomial ring $A = R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. For convenience, if $\hat{f} = \sum_i \bar{a}_i y^i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[y]$, where each $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies $1 \le a_i \le p^n - 1$, then we write $f = \sum_i a_i y^i$ for the corresponding polynomial in $T = \mathbb{Z}[y, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$; If $\overline{J} = \langle \overline{S}\rangle$ is an ideal of A generated by the set of nonzero polynomials $S = \{\overline{f}_1, \ldots, \overline{f}_t\}$, where $\overline{f}_i = \sum_j \overline{h}_{ij} x^{\alpha(j)}$ with $\overline{h}_{ij} \in R$ represented by $\hat{h}_{ij} = \sum_k \bar{a}_k^{ij} y^k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[y]$ with $a_k^{ij} \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $1 \le a_k^{ij} \le p^n - 1$, then we write $h_{ij} = \sum_k a_k^{ij} y^k$ and $f_i = \sum_j h_{ij} x^{\alpha(j)}$, $1 \le i \le t$, and let $J = \langle S\rangle$ be the ideal of T generated by $S = \{f_1, \ldots, f_t, f, p^n\}$.

6.1. Theorem With notation as fixed above, if, with respect to a monomial ordering $\prec$ as described in Proposition 4.2, $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \ldots, g_m\}$ is a strong Gröbner basis of J constructed by means of ([AL], Algorithm 4.5.1) using the initial input-data S in T, then
(i) the set

$$\overline{\mathcal{G}} = \{\overline{g}_k = \varphi(g_k) \mid g_k \in \mathcal{G},\ g_k \ne f\} = \varphi\psi(\mathcal{G}) - \{0\}$$

is a Gröbner basis for $\overline{J}$ in the sense of Definition 1.3, where φ, and ψ are the canonical ring epimorphisms as shown below:

$$\mathbb{Z}[y, x_1, \ldots, x_n] \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[y, x_1, \ldots, x_n] = (\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[y])[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \xrightarrow{\varphi} (\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[y]/\langle \hat{f}\rangle)[x_1, \ldots, x_n];$$

(ii) each $g_k \in \mathcal{G} - \{\nu\}$, as an element of Q, has a representation $g_k = g_k' + g_k''$ in which

$$g_k' = \sum_q h_{kq}x^{\alpha(q)} \text{ with } h_{kq} \notin \langle \hat{f} \rangle \text{ for all } q,$$
$$g_k'' = \sum_\ell h_{k\ell}x^{\alpha(\ell)} \text{ with } h_{k\ell} \in \langle \hat{f} \rangle \text{ for all } \ell,$$

and such a representation can be algorithmically determined, thereby each element of the Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ obtained in (ii) has a "real representation" in A, i.e., $\overline{g}_k = \varphi(g_k) = \varphi(g_k') = \sum_q \overline{h}_{kq}x^{\alpha(q)}$ with all the $\overline{h}_{kq} \neq 0$; and

(iii) similar results as presented in Proposition 2.4 – Theorem 2.8 hold, that is, all basic applications of the Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ at the level of A can be realized by using the strong Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ at the level of T.

Proof This follows from Theorem 3.2, Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.5. □

Remark (i) All results obtained in this paper for ideals may be generalized to modules without much difficulty.

(ii) In a forthcoming paper [Li], the methods proposed in this paper will be generalized to deal with Gröbner bases in certain noncommutative algebras over rings, in particular, the Gröbner bases in a solvable polynomial algebra (in the sense of [K-RW]) over a commutative ring, and the Gröbner bases in a free algebra $R\langle X_1, \dots, X_n\rangle$ over a quotient ring $R = K[y_1, \dots, y_m]/I$ of a commutative polynomial ring $K[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ (including $I = \{0\}$).

References

[AB] W.W. Adams and A. K. Boyle, Some results on Gröbner bases over commutative rings, *J. Symbolic Comp.*, 13(1992), 473 – 484.

[AL] W.W. Adams and P. Loustaunau, *An Introduction to Gröbner Bases*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 3. American Mathematical Society, 1994.

[BF] E. Byrne and P. Fitzpatrick, Gröbner bases over Galois rings with an application to decoding alternant codes, *J. Symbolic Comp.*, 31(2001), 565 – 584.

[BM] E. Byrne and T. Mora, Gröbner Bases over Commutative Rings and Applications to Coding Theory Gr?bner Bases, In: Sala, Mora, Perret, Sakata, Traverso (eds)., *Groebner Bases, Coding, and Cryptography*, RISC Series: Springer (2009), 239-262.

[Bu1] B. Buchberger, *Ein Algorithmus zum Auffinden der Basiselemente des Restklassenringes nach einem nulldimensionalen polynomideal*, PhD thesis. University of Innsbruck, 1965.

[Bu2] B. Buchberger, Gröbner bases: An algorithmic method in polynomial ideal theory, in: *Multidimensional Systems Theory* (N.K. Bose, ed.). Reidel Dordrecht, 1985, 184–232.

[BW] T. Becker and V. Weispfenning, *Grobner bases. A computational approach to commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 141. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

[GTZ] P. Gianni, B. Trager, G. Zacharias, Grobner bases and primary decomposition of polynomial ideals, *J. Symbolic Comp.*, 6(1988), 148-166, a.k.a. Computational Aspects of

Commutative Algebra (L. Robbiano, ed.). Academic Press, San Diego, 1989, 15-33. ISBN 0-12-589590-9.

[KC] D. Kapur and Y. Cai, An algorithm for computing a Gröbner basis of a polynomial ideal over a ring with zero divisors, *Preprint*, University of New Mexico, 2003. http://www.cs.unm.edu/∼treport/tr/03-12/GB.pdf

[K-RK] A. Kandri-Rody and D. Kapur , Computing the Gröbner basis of an ideal in polynomial rings over a Euclidean ring, *J. Symbolic Comp.*, 6(1990), 37 – 56.

[K-RW] A. Kandri-Rody and V. Weispfenning, Non-commutative Gröbner bases in algebras of solvable type, *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 9(1990), 1–26.

[Li] Huishi Li, On the construction of noncommutative Gröbner bases with coefficients in quotient rings, *in preparation.*

[Mc] B.R. McDonald, *Finite Rings with Identity*, New York, Marcel Dekker, 1974.

[Möl] H.M. Möller, On the construction of Gröbner bases using syzygies, *J. Symbolic Comp.*, 6(1988), 345 – 359.

[NS1] G.H. Norton and A. Salagean, On the structure of linear and cyclic codes over finite chain rings, *Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput.* 10(2000), 489-506.

[NS2] G.H. Norton and A. Salagean, Strong Gröbner bases for polynomials over a principal ideal ring, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 64(2001) 505 – 528.

[Pan] L. Pan, On the D-bases of polynomial ideals over principal ideal domains, *J. Symbolic Comp.*, 7(1988), 55 – 69.

[Pau] F. Pauer, Gröbner bases with coefficients in rings, *J. Symbolic Computation*, 42(2007), 1003 – 1011.

[Rag] R. Raghavendran, Finite associative rings, *Compositio Mathematica*, 21(1969), 195 – 229.

[Seel] F. Seelisch, The theory of Gröbner bases over coefficient rings and its application in electrical engineering, 2010. Talk slides at
http://www.mathematik.uni-kl.de/∼seelisch/Talks/S2AM2010Berlin.pdf

[Zac] G. Zacharias, *Generalized Gröbner bases in commutative polynomial rings*, Bachelors thesis, M.I.T. 1978.